









PROPOSED EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM FOR ONTARIO'S PUBLICLY FUNDED SCHOOL BOARDS

Peterborough Victoria Northumberland and Clarington Catholic District School Board

SEPTEMBER 29, 2017

Revised NOVEMBER 7, 2017

Ministry Approval January 22, 2018

CONTENTS

| COVER LETTER | |
|--|----|
| | |
| BACKGROUND INFORMATION | 3 |
| KEY CONSIDERATIONS | |
| KEY DEVELOPMENT STEPS | |
| RET DEVELOPMENT STEPS | |
| EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY | ε |
| EXECUTIVE ACCOUNTABILITY & COMPLEXITY MATRIX | 7 |
| PROPOSED COMPARATOR ORGANIZATIONS | 8 |
| PROPOSED EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION FRAMEWORK | |
| | |
| EXECUTIVE PAY ENVELOPE AND PROPOSED MAXIMUM RATE OF INCREASE | 11 |

Cover Letter

Dear Community Member,

In 2014, the Government of Ontario began the process of developing public sector compensation frameworks to ensure a transparent and consistent approach to executive compensation. The *Broader Public Sector Executive Compensation Act of 2014 ("BPSECA" or "the Act")*, introduced by the Ontario Government, applies to all Ontario public sector designated employers, including universities, colleges, hospitals, and school boards. This includes the Peterborough, Victoria, Northumberland, and Clarington Catholic District School Board.

In compliance with the *Act*, Ontario Regulation 304/16 – *Executive Compensation Framework*, and Ontario Regulation 187/17 ("the Regulations"), all 72 Ontario public sector school boards collaboratively developed a comprehensive proposed Executive Compensation Program to support executive compensation management across the Province. This work was completed in consultation with Mercer (Canada) Limited, an independent consulting firm that specializes in executive compensation. The proposed Executive Compensation Program sets out a rational compensation approach for executive positions across all school boards, including those in our Board. The school boards are committed to meeting the intent and goals of the Ministry of Education and Treasury Board Secretariat to ensure responsible and transparent executive compensation management in the Ontario broader public sector.

In the education sector, a competitive, fair, and responsible Executive Compensation Program is vital for attracting and retaining the talented, innovative leadership required to ensure continued progress in student achievement and success. Our proposed Executive Compensation Program balances the need to manage compensation costs and the need to attract and retain the executive talent we seek.

Key sections in our proposed Executive Compensation Program include:

- Executive Compensation Philosophy
- Executive Accountability & Complexity Matrix
- · Proposed Comparator Organizations
- Proposed Executive Compensation Framework
- Executive Pay Envelope and Maximum Rate of Increase

As part of the Government Regulations, all Ontario broader public sector employers are required to conduct a 30-day public consultation on their proposed Executive Compensation Program. Following consultation and review of the input, the final Executive Compensation Program will be posted. If you have feedback on the Program please complete the online form For Executive Compensation Feedback found on the board website and click SUBMIT. We will be accepting public input until 30 days from the date of date this program is posted on our website. All feedback is appreciated and will be kept on record.

Thank you,

Michelle Griepsma Chair of the Board

Background Information

The 72 Ontario school boards of the four publicly funded education systems worked through a Steering Committee to develop a Province-wide sector-based proposed Executive Compensation Program for Directors of Education, Associate Directors, Supervisory Officers, and other executive positions, as per the Act and the Government Regulations.

The Steering Committee was comprised of leadership from the following groups:

- Association des conseils scolaires des ecoles publiques de l'Ontario ("ACEPO")
- Association des gestionnaires de franco-ontarienne ("AGEFO").
- Association franco ontarienne des conseils scolaires catholiques ("AFOCSC"),
- Conseil ontarien des directrices et des directeurs de l'éducation de langue française ("CODELF")
- Council of Ontario Directors of Education ("CODE")
- English Catholic Council of Directors of Education ("ECCODE")
- Ontario Catholic School Trustees' Association ("OCSTA")
- Ontario Catholic Supervisory Officers Association ("OCSOA")
- Ontario Public School Boards' Association ("OPSBA")
- Ontario Public Supervisory Officers Association ("OPSOA")
- Public Council of Ontario Directors of Education ("PCODE")

The school boards across the province and our Board, the Peterborough, Victoria, Northumberland, and Clarington Catholic District School Board, are committed to supporting and ensuring the goals of the Act are met, including:

- Standardization providing a consistent, evidence-based approach to setting compensation, based on research and consultation;
- Balance managing compensation costs while allowing the school boards to attract and retain the talent necessary to deliver high quality public services; and,
- Transparency enhancing the transparency of executive compensation decisions through public consultation and posting.

The proposed Executive Compensation Program developed for all Ontario school boards is intended to be transparent, evidence-based, and meets the requirements of the Act and the Government Regulation. At the Peterborough, Victoria, Northumberland, and Clarington Catholic District School Board, the proposed Executive Compensation Program applies to the following designated executives:

| Designated Executive | Executive Class |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Director of Education | Director of Education |
| Superintendent, Business & Finance | Executive / Supervisory Officer |
| Superintendent of Learning | Executive / Supervisory Officer |
| Assistant Superintendent | Executive / Supervisory Officer |

Key Considerations

The school boards considered the following in the development of the proposed Province-wide Executive Compensation Program, including the proposed Executive Compensation Philosophy and the proposed Executive Compensation Framework:

- The need for common, rational compensation tools and executive management compensation
 principles that provide consistency / fairness in executive compensation and guidance for individual
 school boards to make reasonable compensation management decisions
- The need to reduce the current compensation compression that exists between executives and Principals, as salaries negotiated through collective agreements continued to increase during the executive compensation freeze, making it difficult to attract individuals to take on executive level accountabilities
- The need to keep pace with the evolving Ontario market and remain competitive
 - During the public sector executive compensation freeze, Canadian salaries typically increased by 2% 3% annually and pay structures or grids typically increased by 1% 2% annually, as per Mercer's Compensation Planning Surveys
 - Based on information from Mercer, over the past five years, salaries in Ontario have also increased by approximately 2% - 3% annually
 - Over the past five years, there has been over a 5% change in the consumer price index and an annual inflation rate of greater than 1.3%
- The need for external comparisons with the market to attract and retain high quality executive talent
- The need for internal equity and a common Framework across the 72 Ontario school boards
- The need to apply standards of accountability and complexity when determining the appropriate level
 of each school board, using a Province-wide Accountability & Complexity Matrix with a set of Core
 and Non-Core factors (see section 6: Executive Accountability & Complexity Matrix)
- The need for a balanced approach between affordability and attraction / retention needs
- The need for individual school boards to have the flexibility and accountability to determine the placement of their specific executives within the base salary range.

Key Development Steps

Based on the identified key considerations and using common compensation design principles, the Steering Committee, working together with Mercer consultants, developed the Province-wide sectoral proposed Executive Compensation Program.

The development process involved the following steps:

- 1. Formation of a Steering Committee with representatives across each of the types of school boards (e.g., Public, Catholic, English, French)
- 2. Collection of organization, job, and compensation information from Directors of Education, Associate Directors, Supervisory Officers, and other executives through the use of an Excel / online questionnaire
- 3. Development of a consensus-based Executive Accountability & Complexity Matrix, taking into consideration analysis on the data collected
- 4. Development of a consensus-based proposed Executive Compensation Philosophy through experiences from the Steering Committee as well as past recruitment practices
- 5. Development of a consensus-based proposed Executive Compensation Framework, using common compensation design practices and constructs

Executive Compensation Philosophy

Executive Talent Needs

The school boards require highly skilled and highly principled leaders to lead the organization in providing, promoting, and enhancing publicly funded education. Student achievement and success is a critical public service and requires innovative leadership to further advance the current public education system, taking into consideration technology advances that can assist with student learning. In their leadership capacity, executives are required to work and communicate with a variety of unique community groups, Government, and other stakeholders, understanding and taking into consideration the school board's population diversity when making decisions (i.e., spoken languages, socio economics, and differing abilities of students, parents, and other community members).

Directors of Education and Academic Supervisory Officers are typically recruited from the education sector (often within the school boards). Recently, there has been difficulty attracting and retaining executive talent at the school boards, due to the compensation compression resulting from executive compensation freezes and increasing Principal and Teacher salaries through collective bargaining. There have also been challenges attracting and retaining Business Supervisory Officers and other executives, who often have increased opportunity for alternative employment. These executive jobs are typically recruited from a variety of broader public sector organizations, as well as private sector organizations.

In addition, a PVNCCDSB strategic priority for the past three years is, "Implement fair and transparent processes in recruitment, leadership, talent development, and succession planning to ensure our employees have the necessary knowledge, skills, and attributes to support our vision of "Achieving Excellence in Catholic Education."

Compensation Elements

The school boards provide maximum compensation for executives up to the 50th percentile of the selected external comparator organizations, as per the Government Regulations. Compensation for school board executives consists of base salaries, pensions, and benefits. Each component of compensation plays an important role in the attraction, retention, reward, and recognition of the executives needed to carry out the school board's mission.

Base Salaries: Base salaries provide regular compensation to executives for their contributions to the organization. The proposed Provincial Program outlines a base salary range for school board executives. Individual base salaries may vary across executives considering their tenure, experience, relative accountabilities, and relative scope within the organization and across school boards.

Pension and Benefits: Consistent with the Government Regulations, our school board provides similar pension and benefits arrangements to those provided to non-executive managers in our board. Additional coverage or elements are only provided to executives if there is a critical business need and / or it is needed for the effective performance of the executive's job

Executive Accountability & Complexity Matrix

An Accountability & Complexity Matrix was developed to systematically group the school boards into seven levels. This Matrix uses a set of five Core and two Non-Core factors to rate, rank, and review each school board based on accountabilities, size, and other complexity criteria.

The table below presents the five Core factors:

| | | | | Levels | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|
| Core Factor Dimensions | 1 P1 < P20 | 2 P20 < P40 | 3 P40 < P60 | 4 P60 < P80 | 5 P80 < P99 | 6 P99 + | 7 P99 ++ |
| Projected Operating Budget (\$Millions) | \$1 - \$60 | \$61 - \$140 | \$141 - \$230 | \$231 - \$380 | \$381 - \$1,500 | \$1,501 -\$2,500 | \$2,501 + |
| # Schools | 1- 20 | 21 - 35 | 36 - 50 | 51 - 85 | 86 - 200 | 201 - 400 | 401 + |
| Projected Enrolment | 1 - 4,700 | 4,701 - 9,700 | 9,701 - 17,900 | 17,901 - 33,900 | 33,901- 99,550 | 99,551 - 200,000 | 200,001+ |
| # Full-Time Equivalent Teachers | 1 - 290 | 291 - 660 | 661 - 1,180 | 1,181 - 2,220 | 2,221 - 7070 | 7,071 - 10,000 | 10,001 + |
| # Superintendents | 1 - 2 | 3 - 4 | 5 - 6 | 7 - 9 | 10 - 20 | 21 - 25 | 26 + |

The number of levels was determined using an initial quintile (five level) approach with additional levels 6 and 7 added to capture the further accountabilities and complexities of the largest school boards (that significantly differed from those in level 5). The number of levels was also tested using Mercer's proprietary job evaluation system, International Position Evaluation.

The table below presents the two Non-Core factors that may have been used to modify the school board level.

| Geographic Complexity | Takes into consideration the size of the board (i.e. square kilometers), but more so the complexities that typically arise from having to manage a broad set of differences/complications across a geography. This also relates to interacting with multiple municipalities, townships, or community groups, as well as the potential requirement to effectively interact with stakeholders in multiple languages or with significant cultural differences. |
|---------------------------|---|
| Community Partnerships | Takes into consideration the typical nature of the relationships and associations with First Nations bands or other community partners within a board's geography/mandate; and the added diversity and complexities that can be associated with multiple First Nations' and/or community partnerships. |

Based on the Accountability and Complexity Matrix, PVNCCDSB is a level 4 board.

While Mercer's assessment initially placed PVNCCDSB as a level 3 board, we are submitting our proposed Executive Compensation Program as a level 4 board based on the following considerations using both Core and Non-Core Factors.



The following Core Factors were used in determining that PVNCCDSB is at level 4:

Core Factors

All of the five core factors – number of superintendents, number of full-time equivalent teachers, projected enrolment, number of schools and projected operating budget - are within 33% of level 4.

The following describes the non-core factors that place PVNCCDSB in level 4:

Non-Core Factors

Geographic Complexity – PVNCCDSB occupies approximately 10,000 square kilometers, encompassing five large municipalities – the City of Peterborough, the County of Peterborough, the City of Kawartha Lakes, the Municipality of Clarington and the County of Northumberland. In addition, the board serves eight smaller townships and works in partnership with three local health units, four children's aid societies, four social service agencies, and seven police services. Student transportation is operated by a three-board consortium in some municipalities, and the transportation department of a co-terminus school board in another municipality. Currently, in addition to English and French, the board serves families whose first language is predominantly Spanish, Tagalog, and Arabic. We work closely with two New Canadian Centers in Peterborough and Cobourg, as well as Casa Maria House for Newcomers and the Newcomers Settlement Committee in Peterborough.

Community Partnerships – PVNCCDSB works in close partnership with three First Nation communities – Alderville, Curve Lake, and Hiawatha – as well as the Métis community in Peterborough. In addition, we have representation from the Inuit community on our First Nations, Métis, and Inuit Advisory Committee. The board has strong working partnerships with Fleming College, Durham College and Loyalist College to support the delivery of over twenty dual credit courses and the School-Within-a-College Program in three sites. We have active community partnerships with the Mehrit Centre for programs and professional learning on Self-Regulation, with Trent University and Sacred Heart College, and with the Diocese of Peterborough.

PVNC enjoys working closely with Seneca College, and several private industries (Loomis Airport Management, Flying Colors, and Esso) on the board's Aviation program delivered in conjunction with Holy Cross Catholic Secondary School in Peterborough. The board's welding initiative is sponsored by the Canadian Welding Association Foundation (a charitable arm of the Canadian Welding Bureau), and is being implemented in all six of our secondary schools.

PVNC operates childcare centers in 24 of our 30 elementary schools, in cooperation with 11 different childcare operators. These programs include full day, extended day, and before & after programs. In addition, and in the support of equity, the board has a vibrant support program for welcoming newcomers to Canada, a new partnership with Muskoka Languages International to attract and support international students to our board, and international study opportunities for secondary students: student exchange programs with France and Spain, social justice education in Costa Rica, Honduras, and Guatemala, Holocaust education in Germany and Poland, and (future) Indigenous education in northern Canada.

Proposed Comparator Organizations

The Government Regulations stipulate that a minimum of eight comparators must be used in the Comparative Analysis and development of the Executive Compensation Framework. In addition, all comparator organizations must be comparable with respect to three or more of the following factors:

- A. The scope of responsibilities of the organization's executives
- B. The type of operations the organization engages in
- C. The industries within which the organization competes for executives
- D. The size of the organization
- E. The location of the organization

Comparable positions generally include those that are similar with respect to essential competencies (knowledge, skills, and abilities), relative complexity, and the level of accountability associated with the position. The Director of Education executive class is compared to the head of an organization (e.g., President or Chief Executive Officer) and the Supervisory Officer executive class is compared to the Vice President level at comparator organizations.

A set of proposed external comparator organizations were developed taking into consideration the factors outlined above, the markets that the school boards compete for executive talent, and the size and complexity of the school boards in each level. There are eight comparators for each school board level and a total of 35 comparators, with a 75% weighting on education-focused organizations. While of the factors outlined above are important, size is a key consideration when doing executive compensation comparisons and was used in the selection of all comparators. The table below outlines the external comparator organizations in our level. In addition to these comparators, the school boards conducted a series of internal analyses and the current compensation levels and practices at all 72 school boards were considered in the development of the proposed Framework. Each school board was compared to every other school board through the Executive Accountability & Complexity Matrix.

| | Level 4 |
|-----------------------|--|
| | Niagara College |
| | St. Clair College |
| Education | Univ. of Windsor |
| (N =7) | Windsor-Essex Catholic District School Board |
| | Education Quality & Accountability Office |
| | Ontario Educational Communications Authority |
| | The Ont. Fr. Language Educational Communications Authority |
| Broader Public Sector | Region of Halton |
| (N = 2) | Ontario Government Executive |

Proposed Executive Compensation Framework

The proposed Executive Compensation Framework sets the base salary ranges for each of the school board's designated executives and was developed using common compensation management principles as well as the proposed external comparators identified in section 7, Potential Comparator Organizations.

The base salary range maximums are less than the 50th percentile compensation cap. As per the Government Regulations, the compensation cap was calculated using the maximum total cash compensation provided to comparable positions at the proposed external comparator organizations. The base salary range minimums were developed using a relatively common percentage range spread from the minimum to the maximum of the range. The base salary ranges for the Directors of Education are slightly larger as it is considered a "career range", the top position within the school board where an executive may stay within the position for many years. The executive ranges increase across the seven levels as there is increasing job variability.

While the Act and Government Regulations do not specifically require the development of ranges, base salary ranges were developed, so executives can be differentiated and paid appropriately, considering internal equity and consistency, as well as other individual characteristics, such as tenure, experience, and their relative accountabilities. Therefore, executives may be paid at different levels within the range based on these criteria.

The base salary ranges were developed taking into consideration the Principal salary grids (up to the end of the 2017/2018 school year). In order to reduce the compression and attract school board employees to executive positions, we strive to maintain approximately a 5% differential between the executive minimum and the maximum Principal salaries. As a result, the proposed Executive Compensation Framework may need to be revisited based on future collective bargaining or changes to collective agreements related to Teacher and Principal compensation.

The table below details the base salary range minimums and maximums for the Directors of Education, Associate Directors, and other executives (including Supervisory Officers).

| Base salaries are | presented in CAD \$000's |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
|-------------------|--------------------------|

| School Board Level | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Directors | \$166 - \$198 | \$176 - \$218 | \$192 - \$237 | \$208 - \$257 | \$224 - \$277 | \$239 - \$296 | \$255 - \$316 |
| Associate Directors | \$158 - \$166 | \$167 - \$176 | \$183 - \$192 | \$198 - \$208 | \$213 - \$224 | \$228 - \$239 | \$243 - \$255 |
| Executives / Supervisory Officers | \$140 - \$157 | \$140 - \$166 | \$140 - \$176 | \$140 - \$185 | \$140 - \$194 | \$140 - \$204 | \$140 - \$213 |

Our Board is accountable for determining the appropriate placement of our designated executives within the base salary range. The following criteria will be considered when determining their placement in the base salary range:

- The scope of the executive work, including the accountabilities and complexities of the position;
- External public sector comparators and internal school board comparators (at the same level as well as the levels directly above and below); and,
- The tenure, experience, and other individual characteristics (often a composite) of incumbents.

The table below details the Director of Education, Associate Director, and Executive base salary ranges for **level 4** school boards, including our Board:

| Director of Education | \$208 - \$257 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Associate Directors | \$198 - \$208 |
| Executives / Supervisory Officers | \$140 - \$185 |

Executive Pay Envelope and Proposed Maximum Rate of Increase

The Government Regulations requires the calculation of a pay envelope (total of all actual base salaries paid to executives) and an annual maximum rate of increase. The table below outlines the pay envelope at the Peterborough, Victoria, Northumberland, and Clarington Catholic District School Board and the proposed maximum rate of increase.

| Envelope | Proposed Maximum Rate of Increase |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| \$1,070,853 | 5% |

Actual annual increases paid to executives may be less than the proposed maximum rate of increase and individuals may receive more or less than the proposed maximum rate of increase, considering a variety of criteria, including their tenure in the designated executive position. Increases will not be provided beyond the range maximum. Upon implementation of the Framework, the envelope may be prioritized for executives below the minimum of the range or executives in other unique circumstances.

The table below details the rationale for the proposed maximum rate of increase relative to the factors outlined in the Government Regulations.

| Factor | Proposed Maximum Rate of Increase |
|--|---|
| The financial and compensation priorities of the Ontario Government | The Government has identified a need to take a balanced approach to managing public sector compensation, recognizing the need to maintain a stable, flexible and high-performing public-sector workforce that supports the government's transformational priorities and at the same time ensuring that public services continue to remain affordable. For executives, the Government wants to ensure that broader public-sector organizations are able to attract and retain the necessary talent to deliver high-quality public services while managing public dollars responsibly. The proposed envelope and rate reflect the Government's stated priorities and are compliant with the Executive Compensation Framework legislation. |
| Recent Executive Compensation Trends | The school boards closely considered both executive compensation trends within the broader public sector as well as the sectors from which the school boards attract executive talent. The following trends reflect the findings of Mercer's most recent compensation planning study: - Canadian broader public sector average executive compensation increases are projected to be 2.6%; and, - Canadian services (non-financial) average executive compensation increases are projected to be 2.8%. |
| Comparison of Percentage of Operating Budget for Executive Salaries between our Board and its Comparators | The school boards regularly review the appropriateness of their executive organizational structures and staffing and believe that they are appropriate given the complexity of the organization, and do not warrant an overall reduction in the annual maximum increase to the pay envelope. |
| The Effect on the Ability to Attract and Retain Talent | The school boards have difficulty attracting and retaining executive talent as Principal and Teacher salaries continued to increase, resulting in significant salary compression. The proposed maximum rate of increase must consider increases for represented jobs within the organization, as they are an important source for attracting talent to future executive positions. The proposed maximum rate of increase must provide the flexibility required to balance affordability with the need to avoid long-term pay compression, or inversion, between layers of management and between management and the bargaining units. Within the past two years, we have lost one superintendent to a neighbouring school board in a higher level and a second to a major national technology firm. |
| Any Significant Expansion that is Not a Result of Restructuring | n/a |

PVNCCDSB Executive Compensation - Public Feedback January 16, 2018

As stated in the memo from Assistant Deputy Minister Joshua Paul dated December 4, 2017, school boards must submit their proposed programs to the Minister, along with a summary of relevant public feedback and any changes made to the proposed program since the government completed its last review. The summary of relevant feedback should include:

- The total number of comments received;
- The number of comments that were generally supportive of the program and the number that were not;
- The names of any organizations that commented;
- A summary of any common themes; and,
- If necessary, what the school board did to address the feedback.

The board posted the proposed Executive Compensation framework for 30 days as required by legislation, from November 28, 2017 through December 29, 2017. The following summarizes the feedback received.

| Total number of comments received | 40 valid comments received |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Number of comments supportive | 3 supportive |
| and number not supportive | 36 not supportive |
| | 1 non-committal |
| Names of organizations that | PVNC CUPE employees |
| commented | PVNC OECTA employees |
| A summary of common themes | Need for fairness – pay increases should be given to CUPE |
| | members / support staff instead of executives; |
| | Need more resources in Special Education instead of |
| | Executive Compensation; |
| If necessary, what the school board | The board has addressed the issue of resources by |
| did to address the feedback | continuing to go above and beyond the allotted funding for |
| | Special Education. All CUPE and OECTA members are |
| | receiving the centrally bargained extension agreement |
| | increases through to 2019. |